Executive Summary

The Center for Optimizing Rural Health was asked to create a list of Pros/Cons of the two proposals before the Franklin County Board of Commissioners. The list was compiled by reviewing proposal documents that had been submitted to the Board as well as listening to the February 5th and March 9th, 2020 presentations. The Franklin County Board of County Commissioners identified three priorities against which each proposal would be compared. These priorities are: access points for healthcare needs throughout the county; a sustainable business model; and the ability to grow and expand additional healthcare services based on the community's needs.

The Tallahassee Memorial Hospital/Alliant proposal has aspects that address all three priorities. By keeping Weems Memorial Hospital open and retaining Critical Access Hospital (CAH) designation they maintain a sustainable business model that will support growth of additional services. This proposal is in alignment with the Franklin County Surtax referendum that was passed in 2007. The current location of Weems is not centrally located for all residents of Franklin County and this may be a point of contention in regards to access points throughout the county. However, the TMH/Alliant proposal does maintain access points at both ends of the county.

The Ascension/Sacred Heart proposal also has aspects that address all three priorities. Closing the Weems Memorial Hospital will allow Sacred Heart in Port St. Joe to pursue Critical Access Hospital designation. If achieved, the CAH designation would help the hospital in Port St. Joe to maintain a sustainable business model. The free standing ER to be built in Franklin County must be within 35 miles of the Port St. Joe hospital so it would also not be centrally located for all residents. The 35 mile radius would allow it to be closer to Carrabelle but not actually in Carrabelle so this restriction may be a point of contention in regards to access points throughout the county. This proposal does not appear to be in alignment with the Franklin County Surtax referendum that was passed in 2007.

The critical decision before the Board of County Commissioners is whether or not Franklin County needs a hospital with inpatient beds. Expanded charts of pros and cons are included below.

Franklin County Board of County Commissioners Priorities

- 1. Access points for healthcare needs throughout the county
- 2. A sustainable business model
- 3. The ability to grow and expand additional healthcare services based on the community's needs

Tallahassee Memorial Hospital/Alliant

Approach: build onto existing hospital, maintain existing and grow available healthcare services

Pros Cons Priority

| Alliant brings rural health | | Sustainable business |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| operational knowledge while TMH | | model |
| brings clinical expertise | | |
| Retain CAH status | | Sustainable business |
| | | model |
| Partnership to bring in physicians | Maintenance of a hospital in | Access points |
| in order to build primary care and | Apalachicola is likely important for | |
| then specialists will come. The | recruitment of physicians for | |
| long term goal is to build | primary care and specialty | |
| healthcare access in Franklin | services. It is challenging to run a | |
| county. This can begin | hospital. | |
| immediately as they are already in | | |
| recruitment discussions with | | |
| physicians. | | |
| TMH is a telemedicine leader | | Additional services |
| TMH wants inpatients to stay local | | Access points |
| at Weems, not looking to pull | | Sustainable business |
| patients away to Tallahassee | | model |
| TMH has medical residency | | Sustainable business |
| programs which can augment care | | model |
| options in Apalachicola and act as | | |
| a recruitment pipeline | | |
| Keeps health care decisions locally | | |
| Many in Franklin county, including | | Access points |
| county employees, have Capital | | |
| Health Plan for healthcare | | |
| insurance. They are the largest | | |
| private insurer in the county. They | | |
| are in network with the TMH | | |
| Alliant proposal. | | |
| Recruitment of MD will help | Maintenance of a hospital in | Expanded services |
| provide medical director for swing | Apalachicola is likely important for | |
| | recruitment of physicians for | |

| la a da colatala cotti accesso de como | mulanami and and a selection | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| beds which will generate revenue | primary care and specialty | |
| for Weems | services. Operating a hospital will | |
| | continue to utilize county funds. | |
| Partnership board example set at | | Business model |
| Doctors' Memorial Hospital in | | |
| Perry to share risk of finance and | | |
| work together for healthcare | | |
| Want to add onto existing Weems | Addition will cost money but | Business model (retains |
| structure which would maintain | expenditure was approved by | tax, expenses fit cost |
| current CAH status and satisfy | majority vote in Franklin County | model) |
| what people voted for (new | (2007 Surtax referendum). | ode./ |
| hospital). New facility will give | Current location may not be | |
| public confidence in hospital and | centrally located for all residents | |
| | of Franklin County. | |
| should generate more utilization | Of Franklin County. | |
| (less outmigration). Depreciation | | |
| on new building can be added | | |
| onto cost report. Will do | | |
| feasibility study for the new facility | | |
| first which is in alignment with | | |
| priority to develop a sustainable | | |
| model | | |
| Alliant has cost report expertise to | Annual management fee will | Sustainable business |
| optimize finances; cost of this | continue to be a drain on cash | model |
| expertise is covered within annual | reserves. It is planned that | |
| management fee of \$17,500. | optimizing services will more than | |
| Weems currently pays \$30,000 to | cover this fee. | |
| have this done. | | |
| Facilitates strategic planning to | Need a hospital to support this | Expands services |
| identify microrevenue streams to | | · |
| meet community needs and to | | |
| 1 | | |
| , | | |
| 1 . | | |
| | | Sustainable business |
| | | |
| Will help reduce CMS rejections to | | |
| | | |
| | | Inodei |
| | | Custainable business |
| | | |
| , | | тоаеі |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | model |
| | | |
| make payor grid so able to sample | | |
| and make sure paid what | | |
| owed/negotiated | | |
| and make sure paid what | | Sustainable business model Sustainable business model Sustainable business model Sustainable business model |

| Group purchasing power = cost | | Sustainable business |
|--|--|-------------------------------|
| savings for Weems | | model |
| Alliant has some experience managing EMS in Perry | EMS management may be outside the current management agreement scope | |
| Proven track record of managing nearby hospitals like Calhoun Liberty Hospital in Blountstown. | | Sustainable business model |
| Bringing another physician to the clinics in the county (can service both clinics if needed) would help the clinics and potentially bring in more admissions to the hospital | Carrabelle Clinic hours of operation will be reviewed with consideration given to utilization levels and cost of maintaining hours i.e. if it is used to a degree that covers the cost, it should remain open. | (Maintain) access points |
| Alliant will utilize labor management tools to assess labor needs to help right size labor force. | Right sizing labor force may mean some employees lose their jobs. | Sustainable business model |
| History of financial stability | | Sustainable business model |
| Explicit plan to develop a sustainable business model for Weems and keep CAH designation. | | Sustainable business model |
| Backoffice support for Weems | | Sustainable business model |

Ascension/ Sacred heart

Approach: Establish free standing ER, close existing hospital

Pros Cons County Priorities

| Proposal will transfer | The closure of Weems would | Business model |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| responsibility for net operational | mean loss of CAH status for | (sustainable or short term?) |
| loss from Franklin County to | Franklin County. It would open | |
| Ascension. | the possibility of seeking CAH | |
| | status for Gulf County. | |
| ER will have 6 observation beds | | Access to services |
| Ascension has begun | A hospital is necessary by | Access points for |
| conversations with St. James | definition to have swing beds. | healthcare |
| Rehab in Franklin County to utilize | This proposal does not include a | |
| their open beds for short term | hospital with beds so they can not | |
| skilled nursing care. | have swing beds. | |
| | Conversations with St. James | |
| | rehab have not formalized into | |
| | contracts so impact is unknown. | |
| Will coordinate Gulf and Franklin | Weems currently runs EMS so | |
| EMS services. | when Weems is closed it will | |
| | return to being run by Franklin | |
| | County | |
| Ascension will pay for new | County pays the \$8 million for the | |
| equipment | new facility. Once Weems closes, | |
| | there is no longer a cost report to | |
| | cover the depreciation of the | |
| | purchase. | |
| Ascension has a large presence in | Many in Franklin county, including | Access points for |
| North East Florida. This brings | county employees, have Capital | healthcare |
| stability into the healthcare | Health Plan for healthcare | |
| picture. | insurance. This is the largest | |
| | private insurer in the county. They | |
| They also bring quality with | are out of network with the | |
| Ascension Sacred Heart Gulf | Ascension Sacred Heart proposal. | |
| receiving a 5 star rating. | This is not supportive of growing | |
| | and expanding healthcare services | |
| | in the county. Ascension Sacred | |
| | Heart said it would work with | |
| | Capital Health Plan so this may be | |
| | worked out in the future but | |
| Associated by the state of the | currently presents a barrier. | |
| Ascension already has a primary | 10-11 months time to market the | Access to services |
| care provider and rehab facilities | freestanding ER and unknown | |
| in Franklin County with loyal | amount of time to construct | Grow and expand services |
| patient base. Proposal will | pavilion facility. | |

| and of |
|---|
| s part of |
| |
| es to |
| and |
| ents. |
| al Proposal will cut some jobs but |
| unty create others. Year 1 looks to |
| have net losses but Ascension |
| plans as services develop then |
| more jobs will be created. Priority |
| to hiring will be given to current |
| Weems employees. |
| Carabelle No physician presence in Access to services |
| Carrabelle |
| ing Will take time to build at a new Access points for |
| elle while location healthcare throughout the |
| of Sacred county |
| |
| table Ascension Sacred Heart St. Joe's Sustainable business model |
| consistently has operating losses |
| greater than Weems. |
| oses CAH There is no guarantee Ascension Business model |
| e an Sacred Heart will obtain CAH (sustainable or short term?) |
| cred Heart status. There is also uncertain |
| on. CAH financial stability of a freestanding |
| helps ER in Franklin county. Ascension |
| nancially does not have a history of keeping |
| btains unprofitable facilities open |
| standing forever. During 3/9/2020 |
| |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| e a more commissioners, Roger Hall |
| Sacred promised that Ascension would |
| AH status. stay regardless of profitability and |
| that he would be a free consultant |
| for Franklin County to mitigate |
| perception of risk. |
| Providers will need a place to work Grow and expand |
| lic from until pavilion is built. healthcare services |
| graphy Unknown costs involved with this |
| et temporary solution. |
| |
| ady |
| there |
| to |
| I need a |
| pavilion |
| |
| I need a |
| • |

| Plans include telemedicine options on the Ascension | | Grow and expand healthcare services |
|---|--|---|
| platform | | |
| | Ascension Plan is not consistent with/supportive of the interlocal agreements passed with the approval of the taxation decision. May need legal interpretation of whether the tax would need to be rescinded or whether some form of referendum would be needed to redefine the underpinnings of the taxation agreement. | Negatively impacts "sustainable business model" |